# Evaluation of the Limit of Detection of the BD Veritor<sup>™</sup> System Flu A+B Test and Two Rapid Influenza Detection Tests for Influenza Virus

Timothy R. Peters, MD<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Blakeney, BS<sup>1</sup>, Lauren Vannoy, BS<sup>1</sup>, Katherine A. Poehling, MD, MPH<sup>1,2</sup> Departments of Pediatrics<sup>1</sup> and Epidemiology and Prevention<sup>6</sup>, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC

### Background

Effective, potentially life-saving therapy with influenza antiviral therapy depends on diagnosis early in disease course. Influenza antiviral drugs are underutilized (CDC). Increased sensitivity of point-of-care influenza testing will increase opportunities for effective influenza antiviral use.

### Objective

We sought to compare the limit of detection of three CLIAwaived rapid influenza detection tests for three strains of influenza virus that circulated in 2010-2011 or 2011-2012. The rapid tests were one instrument-based test, BD Veritor<sup>TM</sup> System for Flu A+B (BD Diagnostics), and two visual read tests, Binax NOW® Influenza A+B (Alere, Inc), and QuickVue® Influenza Test (Quidel, Inc).

### Methods

Starting stock dilutions were influenza H1N1 A/California/7/2009 ( $3.2 \times 10^7 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$ ), seasonal influenza H3N2 A/Brisbane 10/2007 ( $4.6 \times 10^7 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$ ), and influenza B/Victoria/504/00 ( $4.6 \times 10^7 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$ ).

- These strains were circulating in US in the 2010-2011 and/or 2011-2012 influenza seasons.

 Starting stock solutions were confirmed to be above the limit of detection for all testing methods.

Two-fold serial dilutions of each viral strain were prepared and samples were tested in triplicate by a single operator.

- Control samples were performed for each test following manufacturers instructions.

- The single operator that performed all rapid testing was blinded to sample concentration.

Testing of serial two-fold dilutions was performed for each rapid test until a negative test in triplicate was reached. From each two-fold dilution, RNA was extracted and RT-PCR was performed in triplicate.

-Influenza RT-PCR detection was performed using the "CDC Realtime rRTPCR Protocol for Detection and Characterization of Influenza."

-Cycle Threshold (CT) values of <40 are positive.

### Results

TCID	<sub>50</sub> /m
3.2 ×	10 <sup>7</sup>
1.6 ×	× 10 <sup>7</sup>
8.0 ×	< 10 <sup>6</sup>
4.0 ×	10 <sup>6</sup>
2.0 ×	10 <sup>6</sup>
1.0 ×	10 <sup>6</sup>
5.0 ×	10 <sup>5</sup>
2.5 ×	10 <sup>5</sup>
	x 10 <sup>!</sup>

	/m
4.6 x 1	07
2.3 x 1	
1.2 x 1	
5.8 x 1	
2.9 x 1	
	06
7.2 x 1	05
3.6 x 1	

TCID	
	<sub>50</sub> /m
4.6 x	
2.3 x	
1.2 x	
5.8 x	
2.9 x	
1.4 x	
7.2 x	
3.6 x	

H1N1 - A/California/7/2009											Results										
				Binax		QV			CT PCR1	CT PCR2	CT PCR3	All tests performed in triplicate were concordant (all positive c									
7	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	23.8	25.2	24.7	all negative) for each strain dilution. RT-PCR analysis was positive for each strain of influenza virus at the lowest								
7	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	25.6	25.0	26.1	concentration detected by any r								
6	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	25.9	25.5	25.5	presence of the expected influe		5						
6	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	27.0	26.8	24.9									
6	Pos	Pos	Pos				Neg	Neg	Neg	28.3	27.8	27.8	Limit of Detection (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml	L) for influ	uenza stra	ins					
6	Pos	Pos	Pos							29.0	29.1	28.7									
5	Pos	Pos	Pos							30.1	28.8	29.7		A(H1N1)	A(H3N2)	B					
5	Pos	Pos	Pos							32.0	31.4	30.7	Binax NOW® Influenza A+B	8x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>7</sup>	5.8x10 <sup>6</sup>					
0 <sup>5</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg							32.0	31.2	31.9	QuickVue® Influenza	4x10 <sup>6</sup>	2.9x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>6</sup>					
				112112											2.7810						
				H3NZ -	A/Brisb	bane/10	J72007						BD Veritor <sup>™</sup> System Flu A+B	2.5x10 <sup>5</sup>	7.2x10 <sup>5</sup>	7.2x10 <sup>5</sup>					

CT PCR3

21.2

23.3

24.6

25.8

26.7

26.5

27.9

29.0

nl		BD			Binax	-		QV		CT PCR1	CT PCR2	
7	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	21.9	21.5	
7	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	23.0	23.1	
7	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	24.2	24.6	
	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	25.9	26.1	
	Pos	Pos	Pos				Pos	Pos	Pos	26.6	27.3	
	Pos	Pos	Pos				Neg	Neg	Neg	26.4	26.5	
5	Pos	Pos	Pos							27.9	28.5	
5	Neg	Neg	Neg							29.3	28.8	

<b>B</b> -	<b>B</b> /Victo	oria/50	04/00
------------	-----------------	---------	-------

าเ		BD		Binax				QV		CT PCR1	CT PCR2	CT PCR3
	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	19.3	19.6	19.4
	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	20.5	20.8	20.6
	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	21.8	22.2	22.4
н.	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	22.9	23.2	23.3
н.	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	23.1	23.4	22.6
	Pos	Pos	Pos				Pos	Pos	Pos	23.6	24.1	24.8
	Pos	Pos	Pos				Neg	Neg	Neg	25.1	25.5	25.5
	Neg	Neg	Neg							25.6	25.6	27.0

Funding. We gratefully acknowledge research funding from BD Diagnostics, Sparks MD

# Wake Forest<sup>™</sup> School of Medicine

## Poculto

The BD Veritor Flu A+B test detected the lowest amount of influenza virus for all 3 strains.

### Limitations

This study determined limits of detection for these tests using laboratory dilutions of circulating influenza strains. Clinical test sensitivity is measured using patient samples.

## Conclusions

-The limit of detection for each rapid influenza detection test varied by influenza strain.

-The BD Veritor Flu A+B test had the lowest limit of detection for all three influenza strains, which was at least 2-fold and 8-fold lower than the QuickVue® Influenza and Binax NOW® Influenza A+B tests, respectively.

-A lower detection limit and an objective read by the BD Veritor<sup>™</sup> System Flu A+B test may potentially impact clinical sensitivity, facilitate accurate influenza diagnosis and guide effective antiviral therapy.